

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COM AISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bax 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450 www.us.ptg gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,260	42,260 01/11/2002		Timothy Allen Shear	088305-0145	6248	
22428	7590	08/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	ND LAR	DNER LLP	STORK, KYLE R			
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW	7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	ron, do	20007	2178			
				DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
10/042,260	SHEAR, TIMOTHY	ALLEN .
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kyle R. Stork	2178	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	Kyle R. Stork	2178						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires _months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	O WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMEDIAN APPEAR 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			because					
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	, timely filed amendn	ent canceling					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			•					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,8-15,18-20,22,24-26 and 28-3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>3</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13. Other:								
			•					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that neither IBM nor Kirkbride disclose the triggering event being not an update to the document, but rather an update to the node set (page 9). The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kirkbride discloses storing the records of users in a table register (column 4, lines 29-47). Upon update of a document, the users are all notified of the change (column 4, lines 29-47).

CESAR PAULA
PRIMARY EXAMINED

2